



ई मेल, फैक्स एवं स्पीड पोस्ट द्वारा
देहरादून, दिनांक 07.06.2017

फाइल संख्या File No: 614(2)/76-DDN

सेवा में To M/s Khyber Industries Pvt.Ltd
Khyam Theatre Bulding,
Khyam Road, Nowpara
Distt- Srinagar-190001 (J&K)

विषय Sub : Draft Modified mining plan in respect Tulpow Limestone Mine over an area of 77.96 Hect.at Village- Tulpow, Tehsil-Panthachowk, District-Srinagar, State-Jammu & Kashmir of M/s Khyber Industries Pvt.Ltd. submitted under Rule 17(3) of Minerals (Other than Atomic And Hydro Carbons Energy Minerals) Concession Rule, 2016 & 23 of MCDR 2017

संदर्भ Ref. Your letter No- Nil dated Nil received in this office on 25.05.2017

महोदय Sir,

We have received two copies of the above-mentioned draft Modified Mining Plan with Progressive Mine Closure Plan on 25.05.2017. On examination of the same the discrepancies / deficiencies observed have been listed in annexure.

You are advised to modify the draft Modified Mining Plan with Progressive Mine Closure Plan as per enclosed annexure and submit **3** fair copies of the Modified Mining Plan with Progressive Mine Closure Plan in the new format which is available on IBM's website (<http://ibm.nic.in>) within **15 days** from the date of issue of this letter after corrections in hard bound copies (no spiral binding). If the fair copies of Modified Mining Plan with Progressive Mine Closure Plan will not be submitted within stipulated time, final action will be taken as per rule. Two CDs/ Pen Drives of the fair Modified of Mining Plan with Progressive Mine Closure Plan may also be submitted including text and plates. On receipt of additional comments from State government, shall be communicated to you later on. In case you wish to incorporate the additional information, the details of the same should be given alongwith page numbers.

You are further advised to prepare the fair copies carefully and ensure that it is correct in all respect. If again deficiencies are observed then final action will be taken by this office without returning the copies for correction. This issues with the approval of competent authority.
Encl: as above.

Yours faithfully, भवदीय,

(S. Saklani)

सहायक खनन भूवैज्ञानिक/AMG

कृते उप खान नियंत्रक एवं प्रभारी / for DCOM & OIC,
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो / Indian Bureau of Mines

ole

प्रतिलिपि सूचनार्थ-

- 1- खान नियंत्रक (उ०), भारतीय खान ब्यूरो, उदयपुर।
2. श्री राकेश पुरोहित, खनन अभियन्ता एवं श्री एस० के० सोनी, भूवैज्ञानिक, 17E/403, C.H.B. जोधपुर-342 008 (राजस्थान)
इस पत्र के साथ ड्राफ्ट Modified Mining Plan with PMCP की एक प्रति भी संलग्न की जा रही है। (स्पीड पोस्ट)

पत्र प्रेषित किया
दि 09/06/17.....

सहायक खनन भूवैज्ञानिक/AMG

कृते उप खान नियंत्रक एवं प्रभारी / for DCOM & OIC,
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो / Indian Bureau of Mines

ole

Scrutiny comments in respect of modified Mining Plan with PMCP of Tulpow limestone mine of M/s Khyber Industries (77.96 hect.) in Srinagar district of J&K State submitted under Rule 17(3) of MCR 2016 & 23 of MCDR 2017.

1. Lease period is not correctly mentioned on cover page.
2. Khasra plan authenticated by State government is not enclosed.
3. Coordinates of boundary pillar A mentioned in the text on page 7 are not matching with the coordinates mentioned on surface plan.
4. On page 9 under details of approved mining plan, proposed actual production is indicated for the last five years. On perusal it is observed that actual production obtained is more than 20%.
5. A total of 17.66 hectares of area was brought under mining and allied activities. Whereas on perusal of financial assurance table it is observed that only additional area of 0.30 hectares is proposed to be brought under road activities during the ensuing five years. Production for the next five years is proposed to the tune of 35 lakhs and the area proposed to be degraded due to mining activities for the next five years would be nil. Suitable justification is required to be furnished in this regard.
6. On page 17 area brought under G-1 axis is indicated as 71.96 hectares. Almost entire area has been brought under G-1 axis. It requires proper justification for bringing the 71.96 hectares of area under G-1 axis.
7. On page 20 under table A1.6 updated reserves have been indicated. Contrary to this, reserves are indicated on page 21 under table A1.8 indicating 'as correction estimation. What does it mean. How these figures have been arrived. How the reserves has been assessed to the tune of 15.82 million tonnes under III category. How the reserves under this category has been reduced drastically. All it requires proper justification.
8. Approximately 2 lakh tonnes of waste was anticipated in the scheme of mining approved on the last occasion whereas no proposals are incorporated in the present submission for waste generation. Is it possible that next five years mining would be carried out without generation of waste. Suitable justification is required to be given considering that the mine location is conducive for at least little quantity of waste generation. Enclosed mine photographs reveals that there would be waste being generated.
9. The boreholes logs are not given. The run wise details shall be given. The depth of hole and mineralisation are not matching especially from G-1 axis point of view.
10. The proposal for future exploration is inadequate. Holewise location with depth and inclination, azimuth of boreholes should be given.
11. Deployment of 6 excavators in such a long narrow pit is not feasible and safe proposals that too in hilly terrain. Need a through review.

LH

12. Latitude and longitude coordinates and its configuration are not matching with the various plans.
13. The area of present land use pattern on page 32 is not appearing correct w.r.t actual excavation in the area put to use (i.e. area under excavation).
14. Calculation of area at present and proposed for five years plan period is incorrect and it need to be calculated afresh. Area shown is less. Grids also not shown in FAAP.
15. On page 22 and 23, the bench height is not commensurate with the proposed HEMM, especially the excavator
16. Yearwise production proposals are given in the text under mining chapter. Face length are not matching with the length indicated on the relevant plates.
17. On page 48 under item 8.3.5 yearwise proposals are indicated. It should match with reclamation plan.
18. On page MCDR 1988 is quoted for temporary discontinuance. It should be rule 28 of MCDR 2017.
19. Latest chemical analysis report is not carried out from NABL accredited lab.
20. KML file of lease area should be submitted along with fair copies.
21. The mine is located on hill slope. Hence adequate proposals should be incorporated like controlled blasting techniques, erecting retaining walls, check dams, parapet walls to ensure safe and systematic mining for ensuing five years.
22. Original signature of the lessee would be required on the consent letter on fair copies. Xerox copy is not accepted.
23. There are several typographical mistakes which require to be corrected.
24. All the annexures should be attested by qualified persons for their authenticity.
25. Corrections marked in the text and plates by ball point may also be attended.
26. A CD / pen drive covering the entire document and plans should be enclosed at the time of final submission. Undertaking in this regard by the qualified person should be given that the CD contains the same text & plates as submitted in hard copy.

Plates

27. All the plans should bear a certificate that the plans and sections are prepared on the plan authenticated by the State government. Authentic lease plan with all the Khasra details of the villages duly verified by Geology & Mining department of State Govt showing the location of the lease area with DGPS coordinates of boundary pillars should be enclosed in which original lease area, area surrendered and retained area are to be marked precisely. Authentic lease plan shall be the basis for the preparation of all the plans and sections. There should not be any deviations in all the plans and sections with respect to configuration given in the lease plan.

He

28. Features to be shown as per rule 32(a) of MCDR 2017 are not depicted in surface plan. Scale is not correct.
29. Highest and lowest RLs are not marked on the relevant plates.
30. Surface plan is not connected with three GCP.
31. Conceptual plan/ section depicted in geological section is not deciphering the bench design parameter.
32. At places inadequate bench width is shown and not safe for mining. Thus a serious look is required for such hilly terrain design.
33. Provision of haul roads and access routes is not evident in the section.
34. Sections are not given across the lease.
35. Geological sections are incomplete as extent of mineralization is not substantiated with boreholes, whereas G-1 axis is shown every where.
36. Environment plan is not prepared as per rule. The important features adjoining ML are not shown.

Please note that all the corrections may be attended carefully before submitting three fair copies. All the corrections marked with red ball point on text and plates should be attended. Draft copy may also be returned along with fair copies.

C:\Users\Acer\Desktop\SL of Tulpaw.doc

all -